Association of Northern California Oncologists *Hematologic Malignancies Updates: Leukemias, Lymphomas, and Myeloma*

Chair: Joseph Tuscano, MD deLeuze Endowed Professor of Medicine University of California, Davis Cancer Center

Panel Members

- Gabriel Mannis, MD -- Assistant Professor Blood and Marrow Transplant, University of California, San Francisco
- Jeffrey Wolf, MD Professor of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco

- 44 yo woman with no significant PMH presents to the ED with 1 month of fatigue, indigestion and progressive DOE; while in the ED patient complained of 15 minutes of blurry vision in her L eye
- Exam was unremarkable with no bruising, splenomegaly or lymphadenopathy; visual field without deficit upon examination
- Labs were remarkable for the following:

Lab	Value	Lab	Value
WBC	145 K/mm ³	Potassium	3.4 mmol/L
Hgb	8 g/dL	Creatinine	0.61 mg/dL
Plt	77K/mm ³	LDH	1700 U/L
Blasts	54%	Uric Acid	3.4 mg/dL
ANC	16 K/mm ³	D-Dimer	900 ng/mL



• What regimen should be considered for this patient (ECOG = 0) based on the available information?

A. 7+3

B. 7+3 + Gemtuzumab ozogamicin

C. None, await molecular studies and cytogenetics

- D. Daunorubicin and Cytarabine liposome
- E. 7+3 + Midostaurin

Case 1

What benefit is gained from the addition of Midostaurin in FLT3 mutated AML?

- A. Improved Overall Survival and Event Free Survival
- B. Decreased need for allogeneic HCT in CR1
- C. Less toxicity
- D. Improved CR rate
- E. Decreased blast count

- Her course was generally uncomplicated barring neutropenic fever and mucositis
- Next Generation Sequencing Myeloid Panel returned revealing only FLT3-ITD and NRAS mutations
- Day 21 BMBx was completed and revealed a cellular marrow (25%) with increased blasts (35%)
 - Cytogenetics 46 XX



- She is re-induced with 7+3 + Midostaurin, again with her course being largely uncomplicated
- BMT was consulted and began workup for potential transplant
- BMBx on Day 60 showed a cellular marrow (20%) with increased blasts (15%), counts were not recovered
 - Cytogenetics 46 XX
 - FLT3-ITD Not Detected
 - Multiparameter Flow Cytometry for MRD revealed a population of abnormal myeloblasts (6%)

Case 1

Considering her persistent disease, what is the next best step?

- A. Allogeneic HCT
- B. 3rd induction with standard chemotherapy regimen (FLAG, MEC, etc.)
- C. Clinical Trial
- D. Enasidenib
- E. Azacitadine/Venetoclax

- She was enrolled on a Clinical Trial and was able to achieve a Morphological Leukemia Free State after 3 cycles of therapy
 - Multiparameter flow cytometry is positive for MRD with 4.9% abnormal blasts found
- In the interim, her brother was found to be a 10/10 HLA match donor
- Her course has otherwise been largely uncomplicated

Case 1

What does the presence of MRD indicate in AML?

- A. Higher relapse rate
- B. Increased need for HCT
- C. Worse Survival
- D. All of the above

What is the role of allogenic transplant at this time?

- A. No role, await count recovery and confirm CR
- B. Proceed with matched related donor allogeneic HCT now without count recovery
- C. Proceed with matched related donor allogeneic HCT after count recovery
- D. No role, continue with Clinical Trial
- E. Change chemotherapy regimens

Case 1

• Patient underwent matched related donor allogeneic HCT

Is there a role for post-transplant FLT3-directed therapy as maintenance?

- A. Yes, restart Midostaurin
- B. No
- C. Yes, start Sorafenib
- D. Yes, if on a trial

Case 1 Key Points

- The standard of care for AML is rapidly evolving
 - Four new FDA approvals in 2017
 - Midostaurin FLT3 inhibitor; (NEJM 2017; 377(5):454)
 - Daunorubicin and Cytarabine liposome (JCO 34, no.15_suppl May 2016)
 - Gemtuzumab ozogamicin anti-CD33 antibody-drug conjugate (Leukemia 2017; 31(9):1855)
 - Enasidenib IDH2 inhibitor (Blood 2017; 130(6):722)
- The need for cytogenetic and molecular analyses can present a challenge to the optimization of front-line therapy selection
- There is an evolving role of MRD analysis in the management of AML

- 55 yo man with PMH including OA, RLS, OSA and Anxiety who presents with new L hip pain and AKI on labs completed by PCP
- Examination is remarkable for pain with ROM of the L hip; no other concerning findings noted
- Pertinent labs as follows:

Creatinine3.67 mg/dLKappa LC4800 mg/dLCa12.3 mg/dLLambda LC6 mg/dLHgb9.8 g/dLK/L ratio800Albumin3.9 g/dLSPEPNo M-spikeB2M5.7 mg/LIFENegativeLDH120 U/LUIFEKappa LC	Lab	Value	Lab	Value
Ca12.3 mg/dLLambda LC6 mg/dLHgb9.8 g/dLK/L ratio800Albumin3.9 g/dLSPEPNo M-spikeB2M5.7 mg/LIFENegativeLDH120 U/LUIFEKappa LC	Creatinine	3.67 mg/dL	Kappa LC	4800 mg/dL
Hgb9.8 g/dLK/L ratio800Albumin3.9 g/dLSPEPNo M-spikeB2M5.7 mg/LIFENegativeLDH120 U/LUIFEKappa LC	Ca	12.3 mg/dL	Lambda LC	6 mg/dL
Albumin3.9 g/dLSPEPNo M-spikeB2M5.7 mg/LIFENegativeLDH120 U/LUIFEKappa LC	Hgb	9.8 g/dL	K/L ratio	800
B2M 5.7 mg/L IFE Negative LDH 120 U/L UIFE Kappa LC	Albumin	3.9 g/dL	SPEP	No M-spike
LDH 120 U/L UIFE Kappa LC	B2M	5.7 mg/L	IFE	Negative
	LDH	120 U/L	UIFE	Kappa LC

- BMBx is completed showing 30% monoclonal plasma cell population
 - MM FISH showed Del17p, Del 13q, Del 16q
- MRI Pelvis revealed a focal, 1 cm left pelvic lytic lesion
- A diagnosis of symptomatic multiple myeloma is confirmed
- R-ISS III based on Del 17p and B2M >5.5 mg/L
- Patient has an ECOG of 1 and is only limited by left hip pain and fatigue

What therapy should be recommended for the this patient?

- A. VRd + XRT to L Hip lesion
- B. KRd + XRT to L Hip lesion
- C. Clinical Trial + XRT to L Hip lesion
- D. Rd + XRT to L Hip lesion
- E. Daratumumab/Vd + XRT to L Hip lesion

- Patient is started on VRd, which he tolerates well
- After 4 cycles, assessment of disease reveals a PR (>50% reduction on Kappa Light Chains)
- He is then assessed for transplant

Considering the Del17p, what is the role of transplant at this time?

- A. No role, continue with VRd and reassess response
- B. Proceed to auto-HCT
- C. Proceed to auto-HCT followed by allo-HCT
- D. Proceed to tandem auto-HCT
- E. No role, switch treatment regimen considering PR

- Patient then proceeds with auto-HCT about 1 month after completion of Cycle 4 of VRd
 - Tolerates HCT, complicated only by neutropenic fever
- Reassessment of disease after engraftment and count recovery shows achievement of a CR

What treatment strategy should be considered next?

- A. Maintenance Lenalidomide
- B. Maintenance Bortezomib
- C. 2nd auto-HCT
- D. Proceed to allo-HCT
- E. Maintenance VRd

- Patient is started on maintenance Lenalidomide and Zolendronic Acid
- Frequent assessment of disease shows continued CR
- At his assessment 1.5 years after transplant, his Kappa LC is noted to be rising once again along with new bone pain
 - He has no other signs of end-organ damage, but new symptomatic lytic lesions are found

What treatment strategy should be initiated at this time?

- A. Restart VRd
- B. 2nd auto-HCT
- C. VTD-PACE
- D. KRd
- E. Daratumumab-based regimen

- Patient is restarted on VRd which improves his symptoms, but has no effect on his Kappa LC
- Patient then is the started on VTD-PACE x3, which helps him achieve a VGPR
- He then undergoes a second auto-HCT followed by maintenance Bortezomib
- Reassessment of disease by Kappa LC and BMBx shows a CR

- Six months later, patient is noted to have rising Kappa LC once again along with new, symptomatic lytic lesions (FDG-negative) and no evidence of end-organ damage
- Patient is started on KRd
 - Reassessment of disease after 7 cycles shows he has achieved a CR
- At this time, he is an ECOG 1 with a good nutritional status and no significant complications from previous treatment/transplants

Case 2

What treatment option should be offered next?

- A. Allo-HCT
- B. Clinical Trial with CAR T-cell therapy
- C. Continue KRd until progression
- D. Maintenance therapy
- E. Treatment Holiday

- Patient is offered an allo-HCT as his brother is found to be a 10/10 HLA match
- He is admitted and given a Flu/Mel prep followed by stem cell infusion
 - His course is complicated by G4 GI GvHD requiring a 5+ month admission
- Patient is now two years post-transplant and doing well barring some chronic GvHD

Case 2 Key Points

- Induction with triplet novel agent therapy is the mainstay of frontline treatment for patients with multiple myeloma (Lancet 2017; 389 (10068):519)
- Autologous hematopoietic cell transplant followed by maintenance is recommended upfront for most transplant-eligible patients with a response to induction
 - Standard risk = Lenalidomide (JCO 2017; 35(29):3269)
 - Intermediate/High risk = Bortezomib (JCO 2012; 30(24):2946)
- Salvage novel agent therapy, second auto-HCT and allo-HCT can be utilized in the setting of previously treatment multiple myeloma

- Patient underwent L adrenal mass biopsy showing CD20+, CD10-, BCL6+, BCL2+, MUM1- DLBCL with a Ki67 of 80%; FISH did not reveal any translocations but MYC was overexpressed by IHC
- BMBx completed and showed no evidence of disease
- Patient also found to have a large pericardial effusion, not FDG-avid on PET/CT; no tamponade physiology by TTE; she then underwent pericardiocentesis with no evidence of disease on cytology

Case 3

What regimen should be considered for MYC/BCL6 overexpression GCB-subtype DLBCL?

- A. R-CHOP
- B. DA-R-EPOCH
- C. R-Hyper-CVAD
- D. R-CODOX-M/IVAC
- E. R-CHOP followed by Auto-HCT

• What characteristics of her disease would warrant the addition of IT prophylaxis?

- A. Disease on both sides of the diaphragm
- B. MYC/BCL6 overexpression
- C. Retroperitoneal involvement alone
- D. LDH above upper limit of normal with >1 extranodal site
- E. B and D

- Patient then underwent DA-R-EPOCH with IT MTX
- PET/CT completed after 3 cycles shows a significant response with minimal disease activity
- Patient then completed 6 cycles of DA-R-EPOCH with IT MTX
- PET/CT after 6 cycles showed a CR

Should upfront Auto-HCT be offered for this patient?

- A. Yes, due to extent of disease
- B. No, due achieving CR
- C. Yes, due to MYC overexpression
- D. No, as the data is unclear
- E. Yes, as the data is unclear

- Auto-HCT was discussed with the patient and she decided to forgo transplant at this time
- She then started surveillance with H&P every 3 months
- Six months after completing treatment, the patient presented to an OSH ED with seizures
- MRI Brain was completed showing a large L parietal lesion; MR Spectroscopy and Perfusion were also completed, concerning for CNS relapse of Lymphoma
- LP was completed with negative cytology and flow; Bx not completed



As further imaging did not reveal any other sites of disease, what regimen should the patient be offered next?

- A. HD MTX + Ara-c
- B. MTR
- C. MATRix
- D. MTR + WBRT
- E. HD MTX alone

- Patient was started on MTR
- After C3, patient underwent a repeat MRI showing a 50% decrease in the size of the mass
- After 6 cycles, patient showed a continued response
- She is overall doing well and tolerating therapy; ECOG 0



- What is the role of transplant for this patient?
- A. No transplant is warranted
- B. Allo-HCT should be offered
- C. No available data
- D. Consolidative BEAM or Thiotepa-based Auto-HCT should be offered
- E. EA consolidation should be offered

- Is there a role for XRT for this patient?
- A. Yes, but only if she is not a transplant candidate
- B. No role for XRT at all
- C. Yes, but only as a palliative option
- D. Yes, namely to improve response

 Patient was offered a consolidative Auto-HCT with Rituximab/Thiotepa/Busulfan/Cyclophosphamide preparative regimen

Case 3 Key Points

- Patients with Double-hit DLBCL are known to have a poor prognosis; this is less clear of Double-expressor DLBCL but thought to be similar
- Response to R-CHOP is known to be poor; data for EPOCH is promising with CALGB/Alliance 50303 (subset) results still pending
- For CNS relapse, regimens with high-dose MTX or Cytarabine are reasonable with consideration of combinations (MTR, MATRix) as other options extrapolated from Primary CNS Lymphoma
- Due to poor long-term survival, high-dose chemotherapy followed by auto-HCT should be considered in young/fit patients (J Clin Oncol. 2015 Nov;33(33):3903-10)